C.R.300/-

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (CG)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1478 /2005

PETITIONERS

1. Keshav Prasad Jayaswal, aged about 24 years, son of Shri Mohan Lal Jayaswal, occupation – business (Riksha Garage) resident of Chakradharnagar, Sanjaynagar, Raigarh (C.G.)

2. Subodh Behra, son of Shri Arjun Behra, aged about — years, occupation – business, resident of Raigarh, Tah and Distt. Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

3. Harun Raseed, son of Ahmed Khan, aged about 29 years, occupation business (Riksha Garage), resident of Beedpara, Raigarh, Tah and District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 1479/05
Present Sushil Sahu
dated 06-04-05

RECEIVED-COPY
6.4.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

**VERSUS**

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – Secretary, Department of Environment and Urban Development, Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

fixed

2. The Collector, Raigarh, C.G.

3. The Municipal Corporation, Raigarh, through – Its Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Raigarh (CG)

आयुक्त
972/05
13/05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

44

**आदेश पत्रक**

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P. 1478/05 **सन्** ~~200~~

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**15-04-2005**

Shri Rajeev Shrivastava learned counsel for the petitioners.

Shri Sunil Otwani learned P.L. for the State.

The ground of the petitioners of this petition is that some authorities of the Municipal Corporation, Raigarh respondent No. 3 are threatening the petitioners to return cycle rickshaw provided to them under the Prime Minister Rozgar Scheme by the District Trade and Industries Centre, Raigarh.

It is submitted by the counsel for the petitioners that he has filed the affidavit to this effect for all the three petitioners independently that such threats are being issued by certain officers of the Corporation without any authority of law.

However, no written order to this effect has been issued to the petitioners and the prayer in the petition is to direct the respondents not to interfere with the running of rickshaw garage by the petitioners.

At this stage learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to file the representation before the Municipal Corporation, Raigarh which shall be decided in accordance with law as the earlier representation of the petitioner dated 14-03-2005 has not been decided.

In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

**Sd/-**
**Dhirendra Mishra**
**Judge**

Khan

20.4.05